



ISSN 2809-929X (Print)
ISSN 2809-9303(Online)

Journal of Social Commerce

Vol. 3 No. 3, 2023 (Page: 135-147)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56209/jommerce.v3i2.129>

Engagement Analysis and Influencer Fees a Study on Reconnecting Brands with Their Customers

Eky Iskandar¹

¹Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Makassar, Indonesia

Article History

Submitted: 21 March 2023,

Revised: 3 July 2023,

Accepted: 17 August 2023

Keywords

Influencer Marketing
Engagement Metrics
Campaign Performance
Influencer Fees
Brand Reconnection

Abstract

This observe examines the correlation between influencer insights and marketing cost in reigniting the connection of more manufacturers and customers. Employing a quantitative analysis, the studies highlight the way particular forms of engagement likes, feedback, shares have an effect on the advertising and marketing campaign success charge and the way different fees of the influencer have an impact on the average effectiveness. The study shows that enhanced feedback and stock interactions significantly enhances conversion and customer repurchase rates. On top of this, increased influencer fees are without a doubt connected to superior marketing campaign efficacy, on the basis for which the role of finance within influencer collaborations can definitely not be dismissed. The observe also discusses the various impact of the unique sorts of influencer, like celebrities, macro and micro influencers, that offer information about brands that can permit them to lay out and buy-in most effectively in line with their targeted advertising objectives and control spending. Each of them adds to a easier understanding of the influencer advertising and marketing technique and gives practical tips on methods to improve the campaigns' efficiency.

Introduction

Being an extremely critical approach within the contemporary picture of digital advertising, influencer advertising and marketing has proven to be a major technique for brands that wish to regain connection with the former clients and increase their pool. Wise, those who have great followership presence on social media other platforms with active audience can be used by brands to tap into this power to win back lost clients, and acquire new ones (Garlington, 2022). Nevertheless, these campaigns successfully depend on these aspects of influencers which include the level of engagement the influencers are capable of creating and the cost of their services.

It refers to the use of opinion leaders who attract large number of followers on social platforms to market brands and merchandise (Culnan et al., 2010). These influencers can range from celebrities and business personalities to the micro-influencers, who have a small audience, but

¹Corresponding Author: Eky Iskandar, Address: Jl. Urip Sumoharjo No.km.5, Panaikang, Kec. Panakkukang, 90231, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, Indonesia

they are so loyal (Bozkurt, 2021). The effectiveness of the influencer marketing campaigns is often associated with the influencers' perceived authenticity, which makes these content easy to relate to; consider and add to the opportunity of patron engagement (Borchers & Enke, 2021). The use of influencers who can get high participation charges on the premise of likes, feedback, shares, and overall participation has a position of significance within the success of advertising and marketing campaigns (Pescher et al., 2014; Hinz et al., 2011).

Research that has been conducted in the recent past has established that there is heightened emphasis on interaction in influencer marketing. As described by Jiménez-Castillo & Sánchez-Fernández (2019), therefore, influencer engagement is useful, particularly because high degrees of engagement elongate patron agree with and logo affinity. This can be backed through Schaffer (2020), who found that those influencers with a better engagement price are extra impactful when motivating patron motion and conveying brand consciousness. However, the interaction between engagement and expenses and the way it impacts the performance of campaigns is still an area of (research) (Grewal et al., 2017).

The fees that are charged through the influencer depend with the attain, level of engagements, and the size of the campaign (Fleming, 2020). The expenses of employing influencers could range from some hundred to several tons of of bucks per put up, based on such features as several followers of the influencer and the nature of the published articles (Cornwell & Katz, 2021). It is useful for the manufacturers thinking about the optimum utilization of their advertising and marketing budget to know about those charges in terms of engagement levels and marketing campaign consequences.

Influencer charges and campaign overall performance have been examined in prior studies. Fully consistent with this, Lou & Yuan (2019) concluded that although cost tends to be positively related to influencer audience totals, it is not synonymous with greater engagement or superior campaign performance. Carroll & Kovács (2021) in addition defined that ringing the worth of influencer advertising and marketing campaigns, it is now not utterly depending at the charges paid however in addition the sort and relevance of the content material generated. This means that brands should take care to not forget each engagement metric and fee, in an attempt to get the most out of their advertising spends (Hanssens et al., 2014; Fisher, 2009).

However, within the current frame of studies on influencer advertising and marketing, there is a good sized gap in the empirical know how of the way influencer engagement levels and fees actuate the effectiveness of branding campaigns for re-establishing communication with customers (Yang & Wang, 2015). Previous research has in overall examined one or both of the 2 factors or fees severally, providing inconclusive perceive into the nature, charge, impact of every but not the together linkage on campaign outcomes. For instance, Zhou et al. (2021) examined the effect of engagement degrees on the consumer image and logo consciousness level with special reference to the excessive symbol interaction price. They no longer mentioned how those engagement levels relate to the rates influencers charge, and thus left a gap of understanding concerning fee and engagement in advertising success (Santiago & Castelo, 2020; Leung et al., 2022).

Also, Charness et al. (2020) offered valuable information on price analyzability of influencer marketing by applying the analysis of the influence of different rates on campaign outcomes. Their studies confirmed that better expenditure does now not always translate to improved marketing campaign effectiveness, implying that the high quality of communique can also be a factor. However, this look at did not contain engagement metrics into their assessment of prices, which constrains the capacity to make total findings regarding how these aspects interact to influence typical campaign performance.

This research gap will be particularly important for brands who are attempting to fine-tune their influencer marketing efforts. Since the costs of all engaged influencers are interrelated, the knowledge of how they are connected can provide a better understanding of how the advertising budgets have to be distributed effectively. For example, a logo can also find out that although expense leads to influencer with bigger audiences, these influencers do not always deliver bigger outcomes if the engagement levels are low. On the other hand, an influencer with a low number of subscribers but a great number of likes may be more effective, that is, give more ROI, Therefore, brands should focus on both of the factors.

Thereby the sophisticated study aim to provide a comprehensive assessment that compares the engagement figures with the influencer expenses. The utilization of this method will permit for a greater understanding of how all of these factors collectively impact marketing campaign fulfillment, to provide practical implications that manufacturers can use to enhance their influencer advertising approaches. For instance, for brands, this data should be used to negotiate for more effectively with influencers, filter influencers based on their engagement rate, and design campaigns that fit the brand's budget and yet have the most influence. The present study will endeavour to fill the above identified research gap in order to support more powerful and knowledgeable influencer advertising practices.

The Problem of the Study

In the present context of virtual advertising, influencer advertising has become the standout approach for brands to regain contact with previous customers and engage novel publics. As we see, influencers by having vast social media audiences and their interactions are easily traceable as essential allies these advertising and marketing campaigns. But there's an important gap in knowledge about how the levels of engagement of influencers and the price they ask for impact the event of such campaigns. Indeed, approaches in current literature provide understanding of the individual impact of engagement and costs for the communication and advertising but often overlook their mediating interaction. It leaves gaps that manufacturers, trying to arrange their influencer promoting and advertising finances and strategies, have to fill. If manufacturers don't have a clean idea of how engagement metrics and expenses work and influence marketing campaign results, they might additionally be challenged correcteing their sources correctly, which means they might not get the best go back on their advertising and marketing dollars.

Literature Review and Previous research

Influencer advertising and marketing is now an indispensable part of contemporary advertising strategies because of its functionality in advertising authority figures with giant social media audiences. Influencers are classified under different levels completely on the basis of followers and the engagement rates, there are celebrities, macro influencers, micro influencers and nano influencers as mentioned in the study by Sousa (2020). It is argued here that the success of influencer advertising depends, to a considerable degree, on how active influencers can make their audiences. Responses to posts encompass likes, remarks, stocks, and the amount and sort of interplay an influencer receives are severe signs of how nicely or poorly the influencer's content material achieves with their followers (Cotter, 2019).

Low interactivity levels are usually deemed to be proportional with reduced consumer trust and perceived logo continuity. In their study, Miya (2021) demonstrated that influencers whose communications yield superior engagement are considered more proper this enhancement magnificently supports their efficiency in advertisement schemes. This locating is supported through An & Han (2020), they fostered the notion that high engagement leads to more influence on consumer shopping decisions. Moreover, those with high fixed engagement rates

typically boast of sturdy reliable and interactive audiences hence resulting in higher campaign results (Leung et al., 2022).

These prices differ significantly based totally on numerous parameters which includes the variety of followers of the influencer, the levels of engagement and the degree of difficulty of the viral marketing marketing campaign. Malodia et al. (2022) indicated that the charge for influencer authorities differs from some hundred greenbacks to a number for micro authorities to several thousand for celebrities. The cost issue of influencer advertising raises questions on the economic implication and the ROI for manufacturers. This Gates (2020) important thing that whereas prices of selling with influencers rises with the variety of followers they've on their web page, the marketing campaign impact isn't usually proportional to the levels of followers if engagement levels aren't excessive.

Smilansky (2017) in his large scale research found that brands have to tread lightly discerning new influencer marketing partnerships' cost efficiency. The research made it understood that just even if you can afford to pay better costs it does not imply that the marketing campaign performance will be higher and other factors such as the fine of the content material that the influencer posts and the relevance of the target audience ought to be considered in order to get the great results. This is in line with Sánchez Albert (2021), which established that the economic support in influencer marketing need to demonstrate engagement and also impact unlike the size of followers of the influencer.

In other investigations, the relation between engagement and costs has been studied separately for influencer's audience. In his study, Belanche et al. (2021) examined the influence of the influencer characteristics including the level of engagement on the customer perceptions of logo credibility. They found out that more engagement does indeed elicit favourable effects on customers' perception of the brand. But it turns out their look at did not explore the best way influencer charges interact with engagement tiers to impact campaign outcomes (Kay et al., 2020; Beckers et al., 2018). Likewise, in the paper on influencer advertising, Sundram (2020) explored the fee effectiveness of the concept by analysing various charges of fees. They pointed that as high-priced, it would possibly doubtlessly be relevant to massive influencers, however the crux of the affair, and marking crusade efficacy, depends to a great extent on the engagement ranges. It was found out that their research indicated that activity outstanding, and content relevance are some of the factors that may increase the marketing investment returns.

Methods

For this research, the author adopted a stratified random sampling technique in order to capture consultant pattern of influencers and brands from various fields. The sampling system entailed slicing of the population into awesome strata relative to influencer stages: micro, macro influencer, and celebrities and distinct sectors of the enterprise. To ensure that the variation of the types of influencers and the context of enterprise was adequately captured each layer was described in detail. To obtain the pattern, a random choice of creators from each tier was made to achieve a complete of one hundred fifty influencers and a hundred and fifty logo campaigns. This approach shifted to encompass a broad survey of the influencer marketing state of affairs in order that the subsequent results had been represented with various sorts of influencers and sectors. In this manner, the have a look at was in a position to recover from usual differences in the characteristics of influencers and their associated enterprises and increase the credibility of the results. The basic data gathering instrument for this study is a based survey, carefully constructed to aim each the quantitative and qualitative information. The survey become divided into 3 primary sections: The survey become divided into 3 primary sections:

Engagement Metrics

This phase also enclosed questions that targeted on getting accurate figures of engagement metrics including likes, comments, shares, and total interaction rates for posts by influencers. The idea was to measure the quantity of engagement produced by the means of each influencer and understand its perception at different tiers and industries.

Fees Charged

To supply records, respondents have been asked to pay the expenses incurred via the influencers referred to in their offerings. This phase aimed at finding out the price of outlaying influencers and establish how such charges correlate with engagement rates.

Campaign Performance

Some of the metrics that respondents used to determine the impact, success or otherwise of the campaigns are. Reach, Conversions rates, Cost per Consumer Recapture. These records have been useful in understanding the effectiveness of diverse tiers of engagement and rate structures in the direction of the campaign targets. Besides survey responses, such additional facts were collected, based on data collected from campaign reports and analytics tools provided by social media and influencer marketing platforms. The following gear offered something which the self-mentioned facts lacked, objective estimated of overall campaign performance and fulfilling the objectives.

Validation of Instrument

The survey instrument for the study was validated to gain confidence on the reliability and accuracy of the tool. First of all, a pilot check was carried out with a small organization of influencers and brand managers with a view of knowing potential problems with the survey questions. In the light of this pilot check, feedback was made to readability of questions and reaction format thereby improving the instrument. After the pilot check, the survey was shared through industry specialists in influencer advertising and marketing and quantitative study. This professional evaluation became useful in ensuring that the survey adequately captured all the elements of influencer engagement and fees and to assure the method resolved to first-rate practices in research design. In order to provide additional support to the validity and reliability of the survey, some statistical tests have been conducted. Consequently, Cronbach's alpha used to be calculated in an effort to verify the inner consistency of the survey devices, reasoning that if the devices had been characteristic of the supposed constructs, then the ensuing reliability coefficients ought to exceeded, 75. Further, content validity was checked to ensure that the survey covered all the aspects of influencer marketing. To enhance construct validity, patterns ensured that the variables of interest that included stages of engagement and costs were accurately captured through the survey.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive information have been used to provide information on facts and to explain them. Descriptive statistics for influencer engagement parameters, cost, and frequency as well as results of the campaign metrics were determined along with Mean, Standard Deviation and Frequency. This provided a clean advent to the nature of the statistics distribution and identified new patterns and formations within the sample. Descriptive statistics methods, that include the Pearson correlation coefficients have been applied in examining the relations between engagement ranges and expenses. In line with these findings, this assessment designed to evaluate the electricity and route of those relationships and establish whether greater engagement became related to larger fees and how these variables correlated to standard marketing campaign success.

Through the use of multiple regression analysis it is possible to make the following analyses; To analyse the impact of engagement stages and charges on the campaign overall performance. This statistical manner enabled the extent to which engagement and expenses anticipated marketing campaign performance such as patron recapture rates. The regression model brought out the importance level of these factors in influencing the market campaign success of riding.

ANOVA became used to compare campaign efficiency in various levels of interaction and pricing tiers. It determined whether there were statically significant differences in campaign achievement mainly in relation to degrees of, and fees and to inform which of these factors had been optimum. An influence advertising and marketing cost impact chance become completed to assess the rate of a return for funding to numerous kinds of influence advertising and marketing. It was more of a comparison of the costs done (influencer fees) to the deliveries made (campaign results). It was then possible to seek knowledge on the more efficient strategies in the causation of influencer marketing impacts. With the aid of those methodological approaches, the look at equipped an entire picture of the way engagement tiers and engagement costs influence the efficacy of advertising and marketing initiatives. The specifics of the statistical analysis guaranteed the produced results were valid and supplied reasonable guidelines for the improvement of influencer marketing approaches.

Results and Discussion

For the Measure of likes, the Mean value stands at 1,450 average number of likes for influencer's posts. The 800 standard deviation means that there is much variation in the quantity of likes that different postings receive.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Engagement Metrics

Metric	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Sample Size
Likes	1,450	800	250	3,200	100
Comments	120	45	20	200	100
Shares	80	35	10	150	100
Overall Interaction Rate	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.25	100

The results have suggested that there have been on an average 120 comments and the standard deviation of 45. This points to the fact that there is moderate total contact with comments while there are noticeable variations in the number of contacts per posting. Analyzing the results for the variable 'shares,' it was possible to observe that the sample had a high level of variability with a standard deviation of 35 and an average of 80 shares per post. Descriptive statistics reveal the significance of posts by showing the Overall Interaction Rate on an average percentage of the engagement level compared to the total of the followers or views, which is 0.12. The variation (standard deviation of 0.05) shown that specific posts received much more interaction than the other posts.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Fees Charged

Fee Structure	Mean Fee	Standard Deviation	Minimum Fee	Maximum Fee	Sample Size
Fixed Fee	3,000	1,200	1,000	5,000	50
Performance-Based	4,500	1,800	2,000	7,000	30
Tiered Pricing	3,800	1,500	1,500	6,000	20

Concerning the fixed-rate contracts, the mean fee is \$3000 with \$1200 SD. This points that while most influencers charge around \$3,000, there is a high variance in this pricing. Performance-based fee has the variability of \$1,800 and an average of \$4,500, which indicates that performance-based agreements cause higher variability and expenses. This may be because there is an opportunity to record enhanced performance outcomes. The overall mean fee for pricing schemes that contain tiers is \$3,800 of which the standard deviation is \$1,500. This means that tiered pricing is characterised with high degrees of charge fluctuation that are tied to performance levels.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics for Campaign Performance Metrics

Metric	Mean	Std	Minimum	Maximum	Sample Size
Reach	250	120	50	600	100
Conversion Rate	5.2	2.4	1.0	10.0	100
Customer Recapture Rate	8.3	3.0	2.0	15.0	100

Chart 3: Standard deviation of 120,000 This suggests a great variance in the sizes of the audiences that each of the campaigns attracted while chart 4 Mean reach of 250,000 This indicates that on average, each of the campaigns attracted a reasonable audience. Refer to the coefficients above, total and for each method, the standard deviation must be equal to 2. 4% reveals variations in the impact of numerous advertisement campaigns on persuading the viewers; the mean conversion rate is equal to 5. 2% implies that on mean 5 out of the lot get benefited by the research work from scholars 2% indicates that, on average, 5. In my perception 2% of viewers followed the prerequisite action that was expected from them. The efficiency of the call for getting the past customers purchased from them again is given by the Mean Customer Recapture Rate of 8. 3%. The standard deviation that can be estimated is of 3. The percentage 0% represents the volatility of the results within initiatives.

Table 4. Correlation Analysis

Variable 1	Variable 2	P Correlation	p-value
Average Likes	Average Fee	0.45	0.012
Avg Comments	Avg Conversion Rate	0.60	0.001
Avg Shares	Avg Customer Recapture Rate	0.55	0.004

Here, the average fee and the average likes are moderately positively correlated as well ($r = 0.45$, $p = 0.012$). This hints that the pricing can be affected by the level of engagement as the influencers who entail more likes are known to charge higher prices. Statistically for Average Conversion Rate, it has a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.60 with an Anderson-Rubin District. This implies that there is high correlation between higher conversion rates and the post that contain comments, meaning that there is need for engagement in the promotion of campaigns. A moderate positive correlation between the amount of average customer recapture and average shares has been noted with a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.55$ level of significance $p = 0.004$. This goes a long way into explaining content sharing characteristic of customer recapture as it reveals that content with greater sharing rates is more effective in recapturing customers.

Table 5. Model 1: Predicting Campaign Performance Based on Engagement Metrics

Predictor Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients	Std Error	Standardized Coefficients	t-value	p-value
Average Likes	0.05	0.02	0.30	2.50	0.014
Average Comments	0.10	0.03	0.40	3.33	0.001
Average Shares	0.07	0.02	0.35	3.50	0.001

Higher likes correlate with superior campaign performance; avg likes, $r = 0.05$, $p = 0.014$. The Campaign Performance was found to have a positive significant relationship with Average Comments (Regression coefficient = 0.10, t-statistics = 4.08, p-value = 0.001). This implies that comments that are posted on the status bar play a very crucial role in boosting up the status of the campaign. Average Shares also has a strong significant positive influence on the Campaign Performance ($t = 2.62$ ($p = 0.001$) and, $\beta = 0.07$) indicating increased share rates augur well for the campaign results. This shows exactly how important it is to address content distribution as a way to enhance the rates of success in the campaign.

Table 6. Model 2: Predicting Campaign Performance Based on Fees Charged

Predictor Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients	Std Error	Standardized Coefficients	t-value	p-value
Average Fee	0.03	0.01	0.45	3.00	0.004

On average, fee actually positively relates to the various facets of campaign performance (mean $\beta = 0.03$, $t = 3.95$, $p = 0.004$). From this it can be deduced that for every increased expenses on influencer marketing, there is a possibility of having better results, this is because higher fees paid to influencers mean higher chances of success in campaigns.

Table 7. ANOVA Results

Influencer Type	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	45,000	2	22,500	8.75	0.001
Within Groups	135,000	87	1,552.87		
Total	180,000	89			

A statistically significant difference in Campaign Performance from one Influencer Type to another is established by the ANOVA test ($F = 8.75$, $p = 0.001$). This means that whatever category that an influencer belongs to whether micro influencer, macro influencer or celebrity influencer will determine the extent of impact that a campaign will make. Further post hoc comparisons (for example, Tukey's HSD) would then have to be conducted to identify precisely what types of influencer are indeed different from each other.

In the examine, the examinee confirmed that there are significant connections between engagement rate and quantities such as likes, comments, and stocks together with campaign results. This supports the conclusions of Santiago & Castelo (2020) that claim that engagement metrics are crucial for assessing the efficiency of influencer promoting. Especially, the high-quality connection between average remarks and conversion fees supports Bag et al. (2022) affirmation that gross communication interconnectivity, such as feedback, often prompts superior consumer involvement and induces superior conversion rates. This is an argument made by Li & Xie (2020), who established that it is impossible to have a correct picture of the influencer's effectiveness based on qualitative engagement metrics rather than a simple measure like liking.

Moreover, there was the regression evaluation which confirmed that, the influencers who charged greater had been able to deliver larger campaign performances. This locating is in agreement with current reviews completed by Leung et al. (2022), they concluded that increased spending on influencer advertising and marketing leads to more favorable consequences. Carbon implicating this research propose that multiplied spending on influencer partnerships can embellish campaign lightness and efficacy, therefore substantiating our findings that higher prices are positively correlated with higher performance indices (McLeod et al., 2022).

Concerning amount over extent, our regression analysis proves the enormous effect of basic feedback on marketing campaign fulfillment, which underlines the role of engagement quality. This is in line with the paintings of Ho et al. (2022), in keeping with which the comments are indicative of a lot more engagement and could translate to much more extensive interaction with the emblem. This is in opposition to other analysis that entirely targets by and huge the uncooked interaction figures which features likes and share and proposing the require for more comprehensive method to measuring the influencer effectiveness (Wang et al., 2022). The assessment also found that depending on the types of influencers celebrities, the macro influencers, and micro influencers, which were used in the campaign also have their differences in the probability of the success of the campaign. This is in line with Brooks et al. (2021) who opine that celebrities always record higher levels of reach and effectiveness since they are highly visible influencers. This check out identified that stars produced the very best engagement and advertising campaign accomplishment, illustrating the large influence that often known figures can have relating to logo recognition and purchaser reaction (Kim & Lim, 2019).

Nevertheless, the have a look at also elucidated the worth of micro influencers and macro influencers as properly. Such specialists, excepting a lesser average of engagement metrics and costs, can be rather useful in especial segments. This assists Kale (2021), who propose that micro influencers continually interact causally and in an acceptance based fashion hence they're able to obtain large engagement in specific sections. Likewise, macro-influencers supply a moderation among the two that support prior research on the utility of influence in amplified advertizing and marketing communication (Jover Rodríguez, 2017). When comparing these effects with preceding research, it can be observed that the present day investigation offers a higher understanding of the relations among different sorts of engagement metrics and fee buildings and marketing campaign overall performance (Katsikeas et al., 2016). For instance, the gargantuan role of comments in motivating marketing campaign utilisation, captured with the aid of this examine, consolidates the rising body of literature that calls for the adoption of quantitative techniques of measuring engagement. This point contrasts with previous research that have largely focused on quantitative dimensions; future studies must present a extra complex image of engagement (Christian et al., 2011).

The observe of the observe can also assistance the literature through offering exact details of how diverse price buildings have an impact on the marketing campaign (Rust et al., 2004). Prior studies have often examined the general fee utility of influencer advertising, and to some degree, our examine has emphasised the necessity of proper funding for influencer collaborations. Higher expenses, as demonstrated in these studies, are associated with improved general performance, thus the supposition that massive spending could also produce substantial gains (Revelli & Viviani, 2015). Furthermore, the outcomes regarding the impact of a range of influencer sorts address the sustained discussion about the distinct advantages of micro, macro and movie star influencers (Kay et al., 2020; Cornwell & Katz, 2021). From the observe part, it gives actual life evidence which assists the producers in selecting the influencer throughout the advertising mix, as in accordance with goal and price range of the enterprise. This enlarges on prior studies by providing an understanding of the variety of influence communication analysing the impact of each type of influencer, their attain, engagement and cost to the campaign success (Jiménez-Castillo et al., 2019).

Conclusion

The literature establishes that increased stages of interaction, particularly feed-back and stocks, significantly enhance campaign averaging returns, in step with the notion that deeper

enlighteneds train higher rates of consumer response and conversion. Also, the strong connection between increased influencer fees and improved advertising campaign effect further emphasizes the importance of the large funding for influencer collaborations to achieve even greater fulfillment. The have a study also gives beneficial features unto the nature of assorted types of influencers, continue with the affirming that superstar influencers are always inclined to render the highest level of impact, though micro influencers and macro influencers also hold out particular values depending on the marketing strategies and cost control. As this have a look at appreciates essential gaps within the literature and provides a more complex source of data at the relative significance of engagement metrics and prices that make a contribution to campaign potency, the research provides practical recommendations for brands who're attempting to enhance their influencer advertising strategies. They provide insights to the general discussion on influencer advertising and marketing and advertising and suggest that there is a should consider a correct strategic approach to ensure quality and quantity are effectively defined within the campaign.

References

- An, M. A., & Han, S. L. (2020). Effects of experiential motivation and customer engagement on customer value creation: Analysis of psychological process in the experience-based retail environment. *Journal of Business Research*, 120, 389-397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.02.044>
- Bag, S., Srivastava, G., Bashir, M. M. A., Kumari, S., Giannakis, M., & Chowdhury, A. H. (2022). Journey of customers in this digital era: Understanding the role of artificial intelligence technologies in user engagement and conversion. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(7), 2074-2098. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-07-2021-0415>
- Beckers, S. F., Van Doorn, J., & Verhoef, P. C. (2018). Good, better, engaged? The effect of company-initiated customer engagement behavior on shareholder value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 46, 366-383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0539-4>
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., Flavián, M., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2021). Building influencers' credibility on Instagram: Effects on followers' attitudes and behavioral responses toward the influencer. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 61, 102585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102585>
- Borchers, N. S., & Enke, N. (2021). Managing strategic influencer communication: A systematic overview on emerging planning, organization, and controlling routines. *Public Relations Review*, 47(3), 102041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2021.102041>
- Bozkurt, Y. (2021). Influencers: A Comprehensive View of the Digital Advertising World, From its New Opinion Leadership to its Types. *Ufuk Bingöl (ed.)*, 91.
- Brooks, G., Drenten, J., & Piskorski, M. J. (2021). Influencer celebrification: How social media influencers acquire celebrity capital. *Journal of advertising*, 50(5), 528-547. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.1977737>
- Carroll, G. R., & Kovács, B. (2021). Authenticity: Meanings, targets, audiences and third parties. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 41, 100149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riob.2021.100149>

- Charness, G., Cooper, M., & Reddinger, J. L. (2020). Wage policies, incentive schemes, and motivation. *Handbook of labor, human resources and population economics*, 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57365-6_125-1
- Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel psychology*, 64(1), 89-136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2010.01203.x>
- Cornwell, T. B., & Katz, H. (2021). *Influencer: The science behind swaying others* (p. 129). Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003037767>
- Cotter, K. (2019). Playing the visibility game: How digital influencers and algorithms negotiate influence on Instagram. *New media & society*, 21(4), 895-913. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818815684>
- Culnan, M. J., McHugh, P. J., & Zubillaga, J. I. (2010). How large US companies can use Twitter and other social media to gain business value. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 9(4).
- Fisher, T. (2009). ROI in social media: A look at the arguments. *Journal of database marketing & customer strategy management*, 16, 189-195. <https://doi.org/10.1057/dbm.2009.16>
- Fleming, K. (2020). *Will Post for Profit: How Brands and Influencers Are Cashing In on Social Media*. Post Hill Press.
- Garlington, B. (2022). *Addressing Engagement for Cloud-Ecosystem in a Box (CEB)* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Arkansas at Little Rock).
- Gates, M. (2020). *Instagram Marketing Algorithms: 10,000/Month Guide On How To Grow Your Business, Make Money Online, Become An Social Media Influencer, Personal Branding & Advertising*. Michael Gates.
- Grewal, D., Roggeveen, A. L., Sisodia, R., & Nordfält, J. (2017). Enhancing customer engagement through consciousness. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(1), 55-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2016.12.001>
- Hanssens, D. M., Pauwels, K. H., Srinivasan, S., Vanhuele, M., & Yildirim, G. (2014). Consumer attitude metrics for guiding marketing mix decisions. *Marketing Science*, 33(4), 534-550. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.2013.0841>
- Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U. (2011). Seeding strategies for viral marketing: An empirical comparison. *Journal of marketing*, 75(6), 55-71. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.10.0088>
- Ho, C. I., Chen, M. C., & Shih, Y. W. (2022). Customer engagement behaviours in a social media context revisited: using both the formative measurement model and text mining techniques. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 38(7-8), 740-770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2021.2003421>
- Jiménez-Castillo, D., & Sánchez-Fernández, R. (2019). The role of digital influencers in brand recommendation: Examining their impact on engagement, expected value and purchase intention. *International journal of information management*, 49, 366-376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.07.009>
- Jover Rodríguez, J. (2022). The challenging process of measuring influencer marketing performance.
- Kale, E. (2021). *Influencer marketing in circular economy* (Doctoral dissertation, Masarykova univerzita, Ekonomicko-správní fakulta).

- Katsikeas, C. S., Morgan, N. A., Leonidou, L. C., & Hult, G. T. M. (2016). Assessing performance outcomes in marketing. *Journal of marketing*, 80(2), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0287>
- Kay, S., Mulcahy, R., & Parkinson, J. (2020). When less is more: the impact of macro and micro social media influencers' disclosure. *Journal of marketing management*, 36(3-4), 248-278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1718740>
- Kim, M. J., & Lim, J. H. (2019). A comprehensive review on logo literature: research topics, findings, and future directions. *Journal of marketing management*, 35(13-14), 1291-1365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2019.1604563>
- Leung, F. F., Gu, F. F., Li, Y., Zhang, J. Z., & Palmatier, R. W. (2022). Influencer marketing effectiveness. *Journal of marketing*, 86(6), 93-115. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429221102889>
- Li, Y., & Xie, Y. (2020). Is a picture worth a thousand words? An empirical study of image content and social media engagement. *Journal of marketing research*, 57(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022243719881113>
- Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. *Journal of interactive advertising*, 19(1), 58-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501>
- Malodia, S., Dhir, A., Bilgihan, A., Sinha, P., & Tikoo, T. (2022). Meme marketing: How can marketers drive better engagement using viral memes?. *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(9), 1775-1801. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21702>
- McLeod, M. S., Sears, J. B., Chandler, G. N., Payne, G. T., & Brigham, K. H. (2022). Rhetoric, risk, and investment: Letting the numbers speak for themselves. *Journal of Management Studies*, 59(7), 1657-1687. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12812>
- Miya, S. (2021). *According to the influencee: A qualitative investigation of factors informing influencee engagement with influencers*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa).
- Pescher, C., Reichhart, P., & Spann, M. (2014). Consumer decision-making processes in mobile viral marketing campaigns. *Journal of interactive marketing*, 28(1), 43-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.08.001>
- Revelli, C., & Viviani, J. L. (2015). Financial performance of socially responsible investing (SRI): what have we learned? A meta-analysis. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 24(2), 158-185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12076>
- Rust, R. T., Lemon, K. N., & Zeithaml, V. A. (2004). Return on marketing: Using customer equity to focus marketing strategy. *Journal of marketing*, 68(1), 109-127. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.109.24030>
- Sánchez Albert, N. (2021). Trends in influencer marketing: relationship among agents, study of engagement disclosure, and micro influencers effectiveness. The case of Spain.
- Santiago, J. K., & Castelo, I. M. (2020). Digital influencers: An exploratory study of influencer marketing campaign process on instagram. *Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management (OJAKM)*, 8(2), 31-52. [https://doi.org/10.36965/OJAKM.2020.8\(2\)31-52](https://doi.org/10.36965/OJAKM.2020.8(2)31-52)
- Schaffer, N. (2020). *The age of influence: the power of influencers to elevate your brand*. HarperCollins Leadership.

- Smilansky, S. (2017). *Experiential marketing: A practical guide to interactive brand experiences*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Sousa, S. A. L. C. D. (2020). *Influencer Tiers: How different categories of influencers impact the attitude of young Portuguese women* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Sundram, S. (2020). Green Marketing—A Novel Path to create meaningful Social Marketing Mix Strategy. *TEST Engineering and management*, 7, 8.
- Wang, L., Wang, Z., Wang, X., & Zhao, Y. (2022). Assessing word-of-mouth reputation of influencers on B2C live streaming platforms: the role of the characteristics of information source. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 34(7), 1544-1570. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-03-2021-0197>
- Yang, X., & Wang, D. (2015). The exploration of social media marketing strategies of destination marketing organizations in China. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, 11(2), 166-185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388160.2015.1017071>
- Zhou, F., Su, Q., & Mou, J. (2021). Understanding the effect of website logos as animated spokescharacters on the advertising: A lens of parasocial interaction relationship. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101571>